INTERSTATE COMMISSION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN

FY2016-2017 SECTION 106

POTOMAC BASIN WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

WORKPLAN AND PROGRESS REPORT TEMPLATE

DRAFT - JULY 15, 2015

This is an ongoing program. The nature of the scope of work for the use or generation of environmental data is similar to work completed in previous grants and has an approved QMP. The accomplished tasks • support development of TMDLs, • enhance water quality restoration and protection on a watershed basis, • improve drinking water source area protections, • help develop and maintain adequate monitoring and assessment measures, and • foster greater involvement of informed citizens.

GRANT COORDINATOR

Claire Buchanan
Director, Program Operations
Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin
30 West Gude Dr., Suite 450
Rockville, MD 20850
ph: (301) 274-8112
form (301) 084 5841

fax: (301) 984-5841 email: cbuchan@icprb.org



Task 1: Coordination and Leadership

Goal: (2) Clean and Safe Water Objective: (2.2) Protect Water Quality Program Result Code: 202B06

Work Plan Component: Water Quality Assessment EPA Contact: Michael Hoffman ICPRB Contact: Claire Buchanan (301) 274-8112

Program Description: ICPRB initiates, participates in, and contributes to inter-agency and inter-disciplinary initiatives that protect and enhance the waters and related resources of the Potomac River basin.

Environmental Outcomes	Measures	Outputs (Work Products and Activities)	Status / Comment
Enhanced	Grant	FY16-17 Outputs:	
interstate	management	Grant management	
management for	management	Quarterly progress reports to the ICPRB Commission and semi-annual progress	
water quality	Adherence to	reports to EPA3 with description of specific activities and their contributions to	
restoration and	ICPRB QMP	the states' water quality protection efforts.	
	ICFKB QWIF	 EPA Form 5700-52A, MBE/WBE Report, for FY2015 (Oct 31, 2015). 	
protection	Douticination	 Financial Status Report for FY2015 (December 31, 2015). 	
	Participation in &	1 , , ,	
	contributions	• Application for the FY2017 Section 106 grant (July 31, 2016).	
		O QAPPs and updated QMP (when necessary)	
	to inter-	2. Inter-agency initiatives	
	agency	FY16-17 Activities:	
	initiatives	1. Manage this grant, including implementation of QA/QC as required by ICPRB	
		Quality Management Plan	
		2. Participate in and contribute to inter-agency water quality initiatives:	
		EPA Region III Water Directors meetings and Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP)	
		workgroups and goal implementation teams	
		 Interstate Council on Water Policy(ICWP) and Association of Clean Water 	
		Administrators (ACWA)	
		 Maryland Water Monitoring Council (MWMC), Association of Mid-Atlantic 	
		Aquatic Biologists (AMAAB)	
		 Anacostia Watershed Restoration Alliance, Anacostia Restoration Potential 	
		Workgroup, Anacostia Watershed Citizen's Advisory Council, and Anacostia	
		Watershed Management Committee, Trash Free Potomac Watershed Initiative	
		 Adams County (PA) Water Resources Advisory Committee (WRAC) 	
		 Virginia James River Study Science Advisory Panel 	
		 Inter-agency and/or watershed-based groups focused on water quality problems 	

Task 2: Integrating water quality and safe drinking water programs

Goal: (2) Clean and Safe Water Objective: (2.2) Protect Water Quality Program Result Code: 202B06

Work Plan Component: Water Quality

EPA Contact: Michael Hoffman

ICPRB Contact: Heidi Moltz, (301) 274-8116

Assessment

Program Description: ICPRB participates in, contributes to, and provides technical assistance to efforts that promote integrated water resources management in the Potomac River basin and the United States. The Commission encourages development of a Potomac Basin-Wide Comprehensive Water Resources Plan, and assembles regional data, tools, and information that will be needed to implement the plan.

Environmental Outcomes	Measures	Outputs (Work Products and Activities)	Status / Comment
Improved protection of drinking water source areas		 FY16-17 Outputs: Documentation of the role of the basin-wide comprehensive plan in addressing interstate water quality concerns (September 30, 2016) Maintain proficiency in regional response to actual spill events (Ongoing) Updated WQ data inventory contents and interactive map (September 30, 2016, September 30, 2017) Impervious cover study, Phase 2 data & journal article (September 30, 2017) FY16-17 Activities: Promote interest in the Potomac Basin-Wide Comprehensive Water Resources Plan Communicate with representatives from each basin state to define the role of the basin-wide comprehensive plan in addressing interstate water quality issues (e.g. how the plan complements and builds on TMDL development and implementation and state and local water quality planning efforts). Exercise ICPRB spill response procedures and coordinate with utilities and government agencies Intern updates water quality data inventory and associated map Impervious cover study, Phase 2 (Phase 1 was completed in FY2015) Conduct the analyses at the HUC12 scale, including re-classification of land uses, modeling, statistical analysis, and preparation of a journal article. Assess influence of urban development and impervious surface cover on streamflow metrics at the HUC12 scale. 	

Task 3: Assistance to Potomac jurisdictions' monitoring strategies

Goal: (2) Clean and Safe Water Objective: (2.2) Protect Water Quality Program Result Code: 202B06

Work Plan Component: Water Quality Monitoring EPA Contact: Michael Hoffman ICPRB Contact: Jim Cummins (301) 274-8106

Program Description: ICPRB enhances the collective understanding of the Potomac River mainstem's general condition, to assist states in determining if the Potomac River is meeting its clean water goals.

Environmental Outcomes Measure	S Outputs (Work Products and Activities)	Status / Comment
Increased knowledge of key biological populations in the Potomac mainstem	 FY16-17 Outputs: Data and interpretive report of ICPRB 3-year (2012-2014) Potomac mainstem surveys at Little Falls, Carderock and Knoxville sites (Dec 31, 2015) Report on possible biological metrics for the Potomac River mainstem and the availability of biological data needed for metric calculations (September 30, 2017) FY16-17Activities:	

Task 4: Evaluation of Potomac River ecosystem health

Goal: (2) Clean and Safe Water Objective: (2.2) Protect Water Quality Program Result Code: 202B06

Work Plan Component: Water Quality Assessment EPA Contact: Michael Hoffman ICPRB Contact: Claire Buchanan (301) 274-8112

Program Description: ICPRB disseminates the results of Commission studies to broader scientific and resource management audiences, and contributes to the base of scientifically defensible knowledge needed for effective policy and decision-making.

Environmental Outcomes Meas	<u>isures</u>	Outputs (Work Products and Activities)	Status / Comment	
Enhanced assessments of aquatic ecosystem health	c.	 FY16-17 Outputs: Maps: non-tidal streams and rivers in Chesapeake Bay basin with a) inherent potential for filamentous algae growth (September 30, 2016) and b) actual excess filamentous algae growth (March 31, 2017) Technical paper(s) submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals Updated and expanded technical information on ICPRB website EY16-17 Activities: Develop a better understanding of the inherent potential for filamentous algae growth in regional flowing waters of the Central Appalachian, Ridge & Valley, Piedmont ecoregions Use hypotheses identified in the FY15 literature review (includes the WVDEP alkalinity/hardness hypothesis) to analyze available water quality data and map USEPA Region 3 streams and rivers with chemical conditions that potentially favor algae growth. Continue to obtain locational information about filamentous green algae from state agency field personnel, water suppliers, river guides, and others Assemble map of observed algal distribution with a goal of "testing" hypotheses against empirical information. Prepare and submit manuscripts for publication in peer-reviewed journals. Publication of ICPRB's technical work provides a rigorous critique of ICPRB results, broader dissemination of the results, and a scientifically defensible base of knowledge to inform policy and decision-making. Update and expand the technical section of the ICPRB website with		

Workplan for FY2016-FY2017 ICPRB Section 106

Task 5: Management effectiveness

Goal: (2) Clean and Safe Water Objective: (2.2) Protect Water Quality Program Result Code: 202B06

Work Plan Component: Water Quality
Assessment

EPA Contact: Michael Hoffman

ICPRB Contact: Claire Buchanan (301) 274-8112

Program Description: ICPRB will assemble and analyze long-term water quality data sets from EPA Region 3 states and determine middle- and long-term

trends

Environmental Outcomes	Measures	Outputs (Work Products and Activities)	Status / Comment
Enhanced assessments of aquatic ecosystem health		 Water quality trends final report and associated data set (on or before Sept 30, 2017) FY16-17 Activities: Determine long-term, ambient water quality trends at stations USEPA Region 3 states Obtain and review available long-term data. Consult with USEPA3 to confirm data to be used in trend analyses, and identify preferred trend period(s) and analysis method(s). Perform trend analysis Write report Attachment B: Additional Detail for Task 5 Activity #1 	

Task 6: TMDL support for basin states

Goal: (2) Clean and Safe Water Objective: (2.2) Protect Water Quality Program Result Code: 202B06

Work Plan Component: TMDL EPA Contact: Michael Hoffman ICPRB Contact: Ross Mandel (301) 274-8118

Program Description: ICPRB participates in and contributes to the development of regional TMDLs and efforts to improve the model-based tools and approaches used in TMDL development.

Environmental Outcomes	Measures	Outputs (Work Products and Activities)	Status / Comment
Improved tools for TMDL development		 FY16-17 Outputs: Memos documenting significant tasks performed, as requested, in support of jurisdiction TMDL, MS4, or other regulatory programs. Tech memos documenting evaluation and/or adaption of computer simulation models to water quality problems in the Potomac River basin. Submitted as requested. TMDL development for District of Columbia Potomac and Rock Creek watersheds:	
		Watershed Model and Water Quality and Sediment Transport Model.	

Task 7: Promote stakeholder involvement

Goal: (2) Clean and Safe Water Objective: (2.2) Protect Water Quality Program Result Code: 202B06

Work Plan Component: Water Quality Assessment EPA Contact: Michael Hoffman ICPRB Contact: Curtis Dalpra (301) 274-8107

Program Description: ICPRB improves the management and availability of Commission resources to support science-based decision-making. It disseminates information about the Potomac River through media, presentations, workshops, and partnerships with educational and watershed groups, and maintains a Potomac-focused website and library.

Environmental Outcomes	Measures	Outputs (Work Products and Activities)	Status / Comment
A public that is more informed about and actively engaged in protecting the Potomac basin's water resources		 Information about Potomac River and related topics for public outreach Weekly Potomac River Watch in summer, weekly Potomac News Reservoir, quarterly e-newsletters, occasional press releases Commission website (www.potomacriver.org), library Educational outreach Online educational resources Workshops, presentations, and curricula for educators and students FY16-17 Activities: Promote public involvement and stewardship, and inform the public about Potomac basin issues, through	

Attachment A

Additional Detail for Task 4 Activity #1

Areas in EPA3 with enhanced susceptibility to filamentous algal growth

The primary objectives of this activity are to develop a better understanding of the possibly cause(s) of filamentous algal blooms in the Central Appalachian, Ridge & Valley, and Piedmont ecoregions of USEPA Region 3, and to identify streams and rivers with high risk of algal blooms. We will investigate the observed distribution of filamentous algal blooms and compare it to projections of algal bloom risk based on ambient water chemistry and geologic conditions. This FY2016 - 2017 project will build on work completed in the FY2015 CWA106 grant, namely: a literature review, and identification of specific hypotheses for why some rivers are more or less susceptible to filamentous algal growth (e.g., WVDEP alkalinity/hardness hypothesis). FY2016 - 2017 efforts will include the following:

FY2016 - Activities and Products

- Continue to obtain locational information about filamentous algae from state agency field staff, water suppliers (e.g. Potomac Drinking Water Source Protection Partnership), river guides, and others (effort began in FY2015)
- Create an interactive map that allows spatial analysis of observed algal bloom cover
 - o algal spatial distribution (occurrence)
 - o watershed /landscape features (geology, land uses)
 - o instream water quality and stream habitat
 - o macroinvertebrates status
 - o potentially include vertebrate data
- Draft manuscript on Cacapon case study for peer-reviewed journal

FY2017 - Activities and Products

- Complete Cacapon River case study manuscript and submit to peer-reviewed journal
- Identify streams and rivers in the region that are more or less susceptible to algal growth according to literature review findings (algal bloom risk)
 - Analyze water quality data, including data obtained in ICPRB CWA106 grant Task 5
 (Region 3 long-term water quality trends)
 - o Develop maps indicating algal bloom risk according to the different hypotheses
- Compare algal risk maps to map of observed algal blooms
- Draft final report (submitted on or before September 30, 2017)

Attachment B

Additional Detail for Task 5 Activity #1

Long-term water quality trends in EPA3 region

Focus:

- A suite of parameters commonly measured by most or all states
- Long-term trends at monitoring stations initiated in the 1970s & early 1980s in response to the CWA of 1972 (greater than 40 year period) and maintained for most years through 2014
- In-stream concentrations, not loads
- Focused on ambient water quality conditions and parameters impacting aquatic life, and possibly human health
- All EPA3 states: Pennsylvania (PA), Delaware (DE), Maryland (MD), West Virginia (WV)¹, Virginia (VA) and the District of Columbia (DC)
- Data sources will be federal and state agencies and river basin commissions (ORSANCO, DRBC, SRBC); county and other sources if time permits
- Likely parameters are:

Aluminum	Iron	Sodium	Sulfate
Alkalinity	Fecal Coliform	Nitrate-nitrite	Tot. Diss. Solids (TDS)
Calcium	Hardness	Phosphorus (TP)	Temperature
Chloride	Potassium	Lead	Tot. Organic Carbon
Dissolved Oxygen	Magnesium	pН	Tot. Suspended Solids
%DO Saturation	Manganese	Spec. Conductance	Zinc

Analysis Steps:

- 1. Obtain long-term data from multiple sources, including STORET/WQX and NWIS.
- 2. Review data quality (minimum detection limits, data gaps, method changes, etc.). Contact data providers for data documentation or QA'ing the data.
- 3. Consult with USEPA3 staff to confirm a) parameters, stations, and data to be used in trend analyses, b) preferred trend period(s), and c) report structure and content. Determine if flow-correction is possible.
- 4. Determine appropriate trend methods; apply adapted ICPRB R-scripts to data and calculate trends.
- 5. Port output into standardized report tables and graphs.
- 6. Draft final report (submitted on or before Sept 30, 2016)

Caveats

It may not be possible to estimate trends for some constituents in some jurisdictions. A preliminary review of chloride, zinc, and fecal coliform monitoring data available from the STORET Legacy Data Center suggested that only a few observations of chloride and zinc were available from Maryland and observations of fecal coliform in Maryland were only reported in the mid-1980's. Other jurisdictions had some stations at which there were sufficient observations to estimate trends for chloride, zinc, and fecal coliform, but may be missing data for other constituents.

¹ In an earlier project, West Virginia water quality trends were calculated for the period between the 1970s and 2012. For Task 5, additional data could potentially be added to the WV data set and/or different trend periods analyzed. West Virginia results will be included in the final report.